

INFLUENCE OF DATE AND BODY MASS AT FLEDGING ON LONG-TERM SURVIVAL OF SOOTY TERNS *STERNA FUSCATA*

CHRIS J. FEARE

Department of Pure and Applied Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK and WildWings Bird Management, 2 North View Cottages, Grayswood Common, Haslemere, Surrey GU27 2DN, UK (address to be used for correspondence) (feare_wildwings@msn.com)

Received 4 April 2002, accepted 25 October 2002

The Sooty Tern *Sterna fuscata* is a pan-tropical seabird that nests typically on isolated oceanic islands. Sooty Terns nest always on the ground, generally amongst sparse herb vegetation and often in large dense colonies, sometimes numbering hundreds of thousands of pairs (Gochfeld & Burger 1996, Schreiber *et al.* 2002). In most colonies, laying is highly synchronised; e.g. on Bird Island, Seychelles, in 1973, 90% of eggs in a colony estimated at 395 000 pairs were laid in a nine-day period early in the laying season (Feare 1976) although the remaining eggs were laid over an approximate six-week period. In that study, time of laying appeared to be critical in terms of fledging success. Eggs laid during peak laying produced more chicks of fledging age, and chicks that were heavier at fledging and which fledged earlier, than chicks from later eggs. Despite being perhaps the world's most numerous tropical seabird (Schreiber *et al.* 2002), few aspects of its life history have been quantified (Hamer *et al.* 2001). Here, I analyse data from birds ringed as chicks on Bird Island, Seychelles in 1972–1973 and recaptured during searches for ringed birds in 1994–2001, in order to examine the relationship between long-term survival and (1) body mass at fledging, and (2) date of fledging.

In August–October 1972 and 1973, chicks on the point of fledging were ringed with monel rings in the colony on Bird Island, Seychelles (4°53'S, 55°12'E). In 1972, between 30 August and 20 September a cohort of 806 chicks on the point of fledging was ringed and weighed to the nearest g using a 300-g Pesola balance. In 1973 a similar cohort, of 321 chicks, was ringed and weighed between 13 August and 3 September. In addition, in 1973, 4430 chicks on the point of fledging were ringed, but not weighed, between 13 August and 11 October. Chicks were considered to be on the point of fledging on the basis of their plumage. Feare (1976) described stage of plumage development in chicks and the birds ringed for this study were 'short tail' or 'tail forked'. At these stages they had attained their asymptotic body mass and were acquiring flight capability.

Each year from 1994 to 2002, ringed Sooty Terns have been searched for on Bird Island during incubation by teams of two to four people who walked slowly through the colony. Ringed birds were caught using hand nets and ring numbers were recorded (Feare & Lesperance 2002). Recaptures during the 1990s and early 2000s show that the rings used have not deteriorated during the course of this study, indicating that no birds would have lost rings.

The fledging masses of birds that were recaptured in 1994–2002 did not differ significantly from the masses of chicks that were not found. For the birds ringed in 1972, the mean mass of chicks

ringed but not found was 183.3±0.9 g (standard error), $n = 794$, whereas the mean fledging mass of birds that were recaptured was 191.5±5.7 g, $n = 12$ ($t_{804} = 1.14$, $P = 0.26$). For the 1973 cohort the mean mass of chicks ringed but not found was 178.9±1.4 g, $n = 313$, whereas the fledging mass of birds that were recaptured was 188.3±6.2 g, $n = 8$ ($t_{319} = 1.48$, $P = 0.18$).

The sample of chicks ringed, but not weighed, in August–October 1973 was broken down into four 15-day periods for analysis; this division was used in order to provide adequate numbers ($n > 5$) of the sub-sample of these birds recaptured in 1994–2002 in a contingency table. The proportions of birds recaptured from each period differed from the pattern of ringing in these periods ($\chi^2_3 = 11.7$, $P = 0.009$). Paired comparisons between the time periods of ringing indicated that significantly more birds were recaptured from the 12–26 September cohort than from the first and last cohorts (Table 1).

In the two years, 1972 and 1973, for which samples of ringed Sooty Terns were available, subsequent recaptures of birds between 22 and 29 years later indicated that body mass at fledging did not influence post-fledging survival. On the other hand, the ringed birds recaptured in 1994–2002 suggested that birds that fledged in the middle of the fledging period in 1973 survived better than those that fledged earlier or later (Table 1).

These findings supplement the pre-fledging survival information reported by Feare (1976), where in 1973 more chicks fledged from eggs laid at the peak of laying in the colony, which occurred very early during the laying season, and chicks that fledged early in the season did so at a greater body mass and in a shorter time than did birds that fledged later. Higher survival of peak-season eggs and chicks was attributed to reduced predation and to reduced aggression/interference between neighbours that were all at the same stage of the breeding cycle. These factors would not apply once the birds had left the colony.

The greater body mass and early fledging of peak-season chicks was at least partly due to these birds experiencing better feeding conditions than did later-fledged birds in 1973, as was demonstrated by seasonal variations in growth rates (Feare 1976). Parents may have been more efficient when foraging at times when most other adults from the colony were also foraging, benefiting from local enhancement (Feare 1981).

Little is known about the life of juvenile Sooty Terns in the months after fledging or in the 4–10 years before they return to the colony to breed (Harrington 1974, Schreiber *et al.* 2002, C.J. Feare

TABLE 1

The re-sighting of Sooty Tern fledglings in their natal colony from four cohorts ringed at different stages of the fledging period on Bird Island, Seychelles, in 1973. Significant differences: pairs of rows sharing the same letters indicate χ^2 tests showing $P < 0.05$

Dates ringed	No. ringed	No. recaptured	Proportion recaptured	Significant differences
13–27 August	3136	45	0.014	a
28 August–11 September	341	8	0.023	
12–26 September	457	15	0.033	a,b
27 September–11 October	443	3	0.007	b

unpubl. data). They leave the colony, probably with only one parent (Schreiber *et al.* 2002), and the duration of parental care is unknown. During this time they are sometimes fed, in the air, by the parent (Feare 1975) and must learn to feed themselves. On leaving the colony, Sooty Tern fledglings are gull-like, with shorter wings and tails than adults, and they are also very different in colour. Their flight is less powerful and agile and they may feed in a different way from their parents (Feare 1975), but recently-fledged juveniles do not appear to have been seen feeding. At sea, the problems facing juveniles are food location and procurement, kleptoparasitism by frigatebirds *Fregata* spp., predation by birds (e.g. skuas *Catharacta* spp.) and predatory fish (Feare 1976), and storms. Without knowing how and where juvenile Sooty Terns from the Seychelles feed, it is not possible to speculate how their survival might be influenced in ways that produced the observed pattern of survival. Steinen & Brenninkmeijer (2002), however, reported that body-condition factors involved in pre-fledging mortality of Sandwich Terns *Sterna sandvicensis* similarly had no influence on post-fledging survival. They attributed the absence of a post-fledging effect of earlier poor growth to the parents' ability to take juveniles to rich food supplies, enabling these juveniles to overcome potential earlier disadvantages.

Few studies of seabirds have been sufficiently long term to determine the effects of chick condition at fledging on post-fledging survival. Steinen & Brenninkmeijer (2002) reviewed seven such studies and concluded that species with post-fledging parental care showed no relationship between these parameters, whereas chick body condition did influence subsequent survival in species in which parents did not care for young after fledging. This study of Sooty Terns supports Steinen & Brenninkmeijer's (2002) hypothesis that parental provisioning after fledging buffers juveniles against growth disadvantages that they experienced prior to leaving their colonies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The ringing of Sooty Terns in the 1970s was supported by a NERC grant to the late Prof. George Dunnet, University of Aberdeen. Since 1993 this study has been funded at various stages by the Division of Environment (Government of Seychelles), the Royal Society, The Percy Sladen Memorial Fund, The Seabird Group, Prof. J. Steinbacher and WildWings Bird Management. Bird Island Lodge has provided facilities without which these studies could not have been undertaken and I thank all of these

sponsors. For logistical arrangements in Seychelles I am grateful to successive Directors of Conservation and Conservation Officers, initially Nirmal Jivan Shah and John Collie, and latterly John Nevill and Selby Remie. Many people have assisted with ringing and ring searches: Marinette Assary, John Collie, Christine Feare, Simon Feare, Elaine Gill, Edwina Greig, Anna Maria Maul, Elvina Henriette, Georges, Margaret, Laurence and Phillip Norah, Serge Robert, Marie-France Savy, Joel Souyave and Susi Stabinger. I am grateful to all of these people and to the British Trust for Ornithology for allowing the use of their rings. Keith Hamer kindly commented on a draft and Alan Burger and Betty-Anne Schreiber made valuable comments during review.

REFERENCES

- FEARE, C.J. 1975. Post-fledging parental care in Crested and Sooty Terns. *Condor* 77: 368–370.
- FEARE, C.J. 1976. The breeding of the Sooty Tern *Sterna fuscata* in the Seychelles and the effects of experimental removal of its eggs. *Journal of Zoology, London* 179: 317–360.
- FEARE, C.J. 1981. Breeding schedules and feeding strategies of Seychelles seabirds. *Ostrich* 52: 179–85.
- FEARE, C.J. & LESPERANCE, C. 2002. Intra- and inter-colony movements of breeding adult Sooty Terns in Seychelles. *Waterbirds* 25: 52–55.
- GOCHFELD, M. & BURGER, J. 1996. Family Sternidae (Terns). In: del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A. & Sargatal, J. (Eds). *Handbook of birds of the world, Vol. 3. Hoatzin to auks*. Barcelona: Lynx Edicions. pp. 624–667.
- HAMER, K.C., SCHREIBER, E.A. & BURGER, J. 2001. Breeding biology, life histories, and life history–environment interactions in seabirds. In: Schreiber, E.A. & Burger, J. (Eds). *Biology of marine birds*. Boca Raton: CRC Press. pp. 217–261.
- HARRINGTON, B.A. 1974. Colony visitation behaviour and breeding ages of Sooty Terns (*Sterna fuscata*). *Bird-Banding* 45: 115–144.
- SCHREIBER, E.A., FEARE, C.J., HARRINGTON, B., MURRAY, B., ROBERTSON, W.B., ROBERTSON, B. & WOOLFENDEN, G.E. 2002. Sooty Tern *Sterna fuscata*. In: Poole, A. & Gill, F. (Eds). *The birds of North America*, No. 666. Philadelphia: The birds of North America.
- STEINEN, E.W.M. & BRENNINKMEIJER, A. 2002. Variation in growth in Sandwich Tern chicks *Sterna sandvicensis* and the consequences for pre- and post-fledging mortality. *Ibis* 144: 567–576.